THURSDAY, DECEMBER 18, 1997

Mostly cloudy /8-12

Caltrans to cut off polluted runoff

STAFF WRITER

SAN DIEGO — Environmentalists hailed a settlement Wednesday of a lawsuit against Caltrans that will mean strong new efforts to prevent polluted storm-water runoff from reaching local streams, lagoons and the ocean.

The agreement in federal court ends more than a year of negotiations between Caltrans and a coalition of environmental groups, the second successful lawsuit by the groups in Southern California in the last four years.

But while the earlier suit in

But while the earlier suit in Los Angeles County led to a nasty court battle between Caltrans and the Natural Re-

➤ CALTRANS, A-8

NEWS FROM ENCINITAS, CARDIFF, LEUCADIA, OLIVENHAIN, RANCHO SANTA FE, SOLANA BEACH, DEL MAR, CARMEL VALLEY AND ALL OF NORTH COUNTY

AN EDITION OF THE NORTH COUNTY TIMES

5 CENTS

Continued from A-1

sources Defense Council and Santa Monica Baykeeper groups, the San Diego case was handled at a conference table. "What the court ordered in its

consent decree is much more comprehensive than in (the Los Angeles) case," said Everett Desources detense council and San Diego's Baykeeper chapter. ano, who represented the re-

did by litigating." down and negotiating than we "We got a lot more by sitting

the Tijuana estuary in Imperial Beach and \$430,000 in fines, \$380,000 restoration project at reeways and freeway projects. orogram to test storm water poldong with a \$2.5 million pilot tion-control devices on local The settlement includes a

gal polluters, including the highmonitoring program to find ille-Caltrans agreed to enact new

> new training programs for workers in preventing polluted runoff from reaching local watersheds.
>
> The case, filed in April 1996, got a strong boost when the U.S. Environmental Protection nance yards in San Diego; and struction projects and 15 mainteway agency's contractors, and en-force the law; new runoff control neasures at the agency's 72 con-

Agency broke with precedent and joined a so-called "citizen suit" that was filed under a provision of the Clean Water Act.

in the order to be signed in the next few months by U.S. Magistrate Louisa S. Porter. The two cases were included

> agency followed federal guide-lines in the Clean Water Act, its discharge of pollutants would be storm water-discharge permit, and contended that if the nafar lower. tion's largest transportation gnored requirements to get a The case argued that Caltrans

ered a leading source of water pollution, but Caltrans has long argued that the top priority for a reeway in a rainstorm is Storm-water runoff is consid-

suit argued that available meth-ods of controlling runoff from reeways, along with landscaping The environmentalists' law-

> at job sites and maintenance would

permit during the negotiations. trans obtained its storm-water statement with the resources de-fense council, noted that Cal-Wednesday, but agency spokesman Jim Larson, in a joint Wednesday, drainage.

Caltrans attorney Jeff Joseph was unavailable but for comment

knowledge from NRDC, Bay-keeper and EPA about the imquality," Larson said. pact of our activities on water "We gained some valuable

lems we face." ter understanding of the prob "We think they gained a bet-

against the agency's director. sought a contempt citation DeLano and other attorneys the ruling was later upheld when peal was filed by Caltrans and In the Los Angeles case, an apappreciation of avoiding combat. Both parties also gained an

San Diego, the consent decree will be enforced with inspections in the case of private contractors, by both environmentalists and, in the agreement reached in

lead to fines of \$2,000 a day that could be quickly imposed.
"I think with the fines that by Caltrans.
Violations of the pact could

now we have a better tool to en-

sitting down and did by litigating." negotiating than we 'We got a lot more by.

NORTH COUNTY TIMES

Represented two groups

ly get something done," DeLane force this agreement, and to real;